To: All Vendors Bidding on The College of New Jersey
    Consultants for TVRS (AB1400015)

From: Roselle Horodeski
    Finance & Business Services

Date: December 19, 2013

ADDENDUM NO. 2       ISSUE DATE: December 19, 2013

REFERENCE: The College of New Jersey
    Consultants for TVRS
    Bid No. AB1400015

Date of Original Bidding Documents: December 2, 2013
Date of Addendum 1: December 6, 2013

INTENT: This Addendum forms a part of the Contract Documents and modifies the original
Bidding Documents and Prior Addenda, if any, as identified above.

QUESTIONS:

Question 1: In the earlier release of this RFP, there was an organization chart attached, showing the relationship
between different parties in the project. Is there something comparable available with this RFP?
Answer: Prior to the release of this bid, the College and funder agreed to change certain aspects of
TVRS. This included the elimination of the Director position and reliance on the Coordinator and others
to assume additional responsibilities. Once all of the proper modified agreements have been executed
between the College and the funder, it will be able to produce a new organizational chart that captures
this one change. The earlier version of the organizational chart is attached to this response (see
attachment 1).

Question 2: Under Scope of Work and Specifications Element II: Social Services and section I Scope of
Solicitation, you refer to a fall 2013 start ("starting in the fall of 2013 and ending on July 30, 2014"). Can you
confirm the anticipated timeline for provision of social services?
Answer: Some aspects of the TVRS project were started in the fall of 2013, including the meeting of the
research team as well as recruitment of outreach workers. The provision of some of the social services
components will begin in March 2013 at the first “call in”. TVRS training sessions will be held in
February.

Question 3: Regarding evaluation: Are specific measurable outcomes established? If not, may the social service
provider play a role in determining appropriate measures?
Answer: A list of interim outcomes was included in the grant application and they are attached (see
attachment 2) to this response. These are subject to change after the TVRS social workers and
researchers gather more information on the actual needs of the 40 targeted individuals and their
households. In addition, the research team received IRB approval to use the attached list of measures
(see attachment 3). The social service provider will be a member of the TVRS team and will have a voice with respect to any changes with respect to desired outcomes and measures.

Question 4: Does the social service provider have access to and rights to the research?
Answer: The social service provider will have access to the regular reports that are provided by the research team that ensure the proper implementation of the TVRS strategy. It cannot provide individual-level data. The research team and funder also maintain access to the rights of the research. The social service provider can initiate post-award conversations that clarify its possible interests.

Question 5: What is the overall feedback on proposals received?
Answer: There is no information to share with respect to the first proposal. The College decided to cancel the first bid due to programmatic revisions.

Question 6: Why did the college reissue the RFP?
Answer: As noted above, the College decided to cancel the first bid due to programmatic revisions.

Question 7: Can you provide a budget template, explaining what's allowable?
Answer: All of the relevant instructions are found within the bid documents.

Question 8: Did the content of the narrative change?
Answer: No

END OF ADDENDUM NO. 2
**TVRS Coordinator & Social Services Team Leader positions will be filled by the same person**

*Non-profit organization/partner staff - to be selected via RFP process.*

Green – Director Position
Orange – MOU to Trenton Police Department (TPD)
Blue – MOU to The College of New Jersey (TCNJ)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Law Enforcement</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Conduct &quot;x&quot; law enforcement operations focused on prostitution, drug interdiction, robbery and other criminal activity based on intelligence-led policing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conduct &quot;x&quot; call in meetings</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Life Skills</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Invite 40 targeted households to participate in a social services/self-sufficiency needs assessment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Complete 20 targeted household social-services/self-sufficiency plans</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enroll key members of 15 targeted households (approx. 2 x 15 or 30 individuals) in 14 session life-skills training program</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Demonstrate that 20 individuals (2 x 10 households) completed the life-skills training program</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Job Training and Development Goals</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Identify 40 to 60 targeted household members or associates who are eligible to participate in local job training or development programs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ensure that 30 individuals successfully complete orientation and enroll in local job training or development programs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Demonstrate that 20 to 25 youth complete 1 year job-training goals and plans</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Demonstrate that 20 to 25 youth complete an appropriate job training or readiness program, are placed into a job, or re-enrolled to continue their education</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Outreach</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Communicate (8 workers x 5 offenders) and make contact with 40 youth per week</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Communicate with approximately 3 key individuals (victims, relatives etc...) in response to each act of violence involving a gang and/or their territory</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Note: These are yearly performance measures.
Attachment III

Gang Embeddedness Scale: Uses a mixed graded response model applied to five variables: frequency of contact with the gang (seven categories), position in the gang (three categories), importance of the gang to respondent (five categories), proportion of friends in the gang (five categories), and frequency of gang-involved assaults (four categories).

Criminal Sentiment Scale: A measure of criminal attitudes. Barratt Impulsivity Scale: A widely used measure of impulsiveness. It includes 30 items that are scored to yield six first-order factors (attention, motor, self-control, cognitive complexity, perseverance, and cognitive instability impulsiveness) and three second-order factors (attentional, motor, and non-planning impulsiveness).


Neighborhood Block Conditions Scale - Measures perceptions of neighborhood conditions (e.g. severity of problems, sense of safety).

The World Assumptions Questionnaire incorporates four subscales, including: controllability of events, comprehensibility and predictability of people, trustworthiness and goodness of people, and safety and vulnerability.

The Adult Hope Scale measures Snyder's cognitive model of hope which defines hope as "a positive motivational state that is based on an interactively derived sense of successful (a) agency (goal-directed energy), and (b) pathways (planning to meet goals)". It contains 12 items. Four items measure pathways thinking, four items measure agency thinking, and four items are fillers. Participants respond to each item using a 8-point scale ranging from definitely false to definitely true and the scale takes only a few minutes to complete.

Life Stressor Checklist - Revised: The Life Stressor Checklist-Revised is a self-report measure that assesses traumatic or stressful life events. The questionnaire includes 30 life events, including experiences with natural disasters, physical or sexual assault, death of a relative and other events and follows a yes/no format.

It is anticipated that the social workers will collect this data at 6, 12 and 18 months post baseline. Baseline data will be collected by the researchers at the initial call-in. Additionally, social workers will collect ‘dosage data’ which specifies which services individuals receive, and how much of each service.

The social service provider will be a member of the TVRS team and will have a voice with respect to any changes with respect to desired outcomes and measures. It is possible that we may not use all of the measures indicated above, but they were all a part of the IRB application to afford us the opportunity to make decisions without effecting our start time due to IRB approval process taking several months. It is also quite possible that we will use all of the above measures. The evaluation team is excited to partner with the social service provider and work as a team to complete a successful evaluation.